

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
ATLANTA DIVISION

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|---------------------|
| DARCY MCCOY, |) | |
| Individually and on behalf of others |) | |
| similarly situated |) | |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | |
| |) | |
| v. |) | CIVIL ACTION FILE |
| |) | NO. _____ |
| CLERMONT LOUNGE, INC., and |) | |
| CATHERINE MARTIN |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendants. |) | JURY TRIAL DEMANDED |

COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

COMES NOW Plaintiff Darcy McCoy who file this lawsuit against
Defendants Clermont Lounge, Inc. and Catherine Martin (hereinafter collectively
“Defendants”), and show the following:

Nature of Complaint

1.

Plaintiff brings this action to obtain full and complete relief and to redress
the unlawful employment practices described herein.

2.

This action seeks declaratory relief, liquidated and actual damages for
Defendants’ failure to pay federally mandated wages to Plaintiff in violation of
the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended, 29 U.S.C. §201 *et seq.*

(hereinafter “FLSA”) during Plaintiff’s employment with Defendants (hereinafter referred to as the “relevant time period”).

Jurisdiction and Venue

3.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b), and 28 U.S.C. §1343(4).

4.

Defendant Clermont Lounge, Inc. is a Georgia corporation.

5.

The unlawful employment practices alleged in this Complaint were committed within this District at Plaintiff’s work location: 1097 Harwell Road, Atlanta, Georgia, 30318. In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1391, and LR 3, Northern District of Georgia, venue is appropriate in this Court.

Parties

6.

Plaintiff was a resident of the State of Georgia during the relevant time period.

7.

Defendant Clermont Lounge, Inc. may be served with process by delivering a copy of the summons and complaint to its registered agent,

Catherine Martin, 900 Beaumont Court, Canton, Georgia, 30114.

8.

Defendant Catherine Martin may be served with process by delivering a copy of the summons and complaint to her home address at 900 Beaumont Court, Canton, Georgia, 30114.

Factual Allegations

9.

Clermont Lounge is an nightclub and bar offering adult entertainment located at 789 Ponce De Leon Avenue NE, Atlanta, GA 30306.

10.

Plaintiff began working at Clermont Lounge on or around March 26, 2013.

11.

Plaintiff and others similarly situated (the “FLSA Collective”) are individuals who have worked as current and former entertainer employees of Defendant Clermont Lounge, Inc. within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(e)(1).

12.

At all times for three years prior to the filing of the instant complaint, Defendants have employed female entertainers at Clermont Lounge.

13.

At all times for the three years prior to the filing of the instant complaint, Defendants have categorized all entertainers working at Clermont Lounge as “independent contractors.”

14.

At all times for the three years prior to the filing of the instant complaint, Defendants have not required entertainers to have any specialized training or background. Defendants have, however, required entertainers to dance at specified times and in a specified manner; regulated entertainers’ attire and interactions with customers; and financed all advertising efforts undertaken on behalf of Clermont Lounge. Plaintiff was subject to the policies of Defendants outlined in this paragraph.

15.

At all times for the three years prior to the filing of the instant complaint, Defendants have required entertainers, including Plaintiff, to pay a security fee of \$3 for each shift.

16.

If entertainers are late for work, fail to appear for a scheduled shift, or are deemed to have violated any of Clermont Lounge’ rules, they are charged additional fees. For example, there is a late fee of \$10 for every hour after 9pm

that the entertainer arrives.

17.

The fees described in Paragraphs 15-16 constitute unlawful “kickbacks” to the employer within the meaning of the Fair Labor Standards Act.

18.

Plaintiff worked over forty hours in some weeks she worked for Defendants.

19.

Defendants have never paid entertainers any amount as wages. Instead, entertainers’ source of work related income is gratuities they receive from customers.

20.

Because Defendants did not pay Plaintiff any wages, Defendants did not pay Plaintiff one-and-a-half times her regular rate of pay when Plaintiff worked over forty hours in a given workweek.

21.

Defendants knew, or showed reckless disregard for the fact that they misclassified Plaintiff as an independent contractor, and accordingly failed to pay Plaintiff the minimum wage and failed to pay overtime at the required rate under the Fair Labor Standards Act.

22.

Defendant Catherine Martin is the CEO of Clermont Lounge, Inc.

23.

Defendant Martin had discretion over Plaintiff's working hours, employment status, and overtime compensation.

24.

Defendant Martin acts both directly and indirectly in the interest of Clermont Lounge, Inc. and was in a supervisory position over Plaintiff.

25.

Clermont Lounge, Inc. is an "employer" within the definition of FLSA §3(d), 29 U.S.C. §203(d).

26.

Defendant Martin is an "employer" within the definition of FLSA §3(d), 29 U.S.C. §203(d).

27.

Defendants are governed by and subject to FLSA §§ 6-7, 29 U.S.C. §§ 204, 206-207.

28.

At all times relevant, Defendant has been, and continues to be, an "employer"

engaged in interstate commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce, within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et. seq.

29.

At all times relevant, Defendants have employed and continues to employ, employees, including Plaintiff, who engage or engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce.

30.

At all times relevant, upon information and belief, Defendants have had an annual gross volume of sales made or business done in excess of \$500,000.00.

31.

The minimum wage and overtime provisions of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et. seq., apply to Defendants and protect Plaintiff.

32.

Plaintiff has consented in writing to be a part of this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). As this case proceeds, it is likely that other individuals will sign consent forms and join as plaintiffs.

33.

Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective she seeks to represent allege violations of the FLSA on behalf of all persons who were, are, or will be employed by Defendants during the past three years, who have not been

compensated at least minimum wage for all hours worked and/or one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for all work performed in excess of forty (40) hours per work week.

CAUSES OF ACTION

Violation of the Minimum Wage Requirement of the Fair Labor Standards Act.

34.

Defendants, individually and collectively, jointly and severally, have violated FLSA § 6, 29 U.S.C. § 206, by failing to pay minimum wage for time that Plaintiff worked.

35.

Pursuant to FLSA §16, 29 U.S.C. §216, Plaintiff brings this lawsuit to recover unpaid wages, liquidated damages in an equal amount, attorneys' fees, and the costs of this litigation.

Violation of the Overtime Wage Requirement of the Fair Labor Standards Act.

36.

Defendants, individually and collectively, jointly and severally, have violated FLSA § 7, 29 U.S.C. § 207, by failing to pay overtime wages for time that Plaintiff worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a workweek.

37.

Pursuant to FLSA §16, 29 U.S.C. §216, Plaintiff brings this lawsuit to recover unpaid wages, overtime wage differential, liquidated damages in an equal amount, attorneys' fees, and the costs of this litigation.

Prayer for Relief

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective respectfully request that this Court:

- (A) Grant Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective a trial by jury as to all triable issues of fact;
- (B) Enter judgment awarding Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective unpaid minimum wages and overtime wages pursuant to the FLSA §§ 6, 7; 29 U.S.C. §§ 206, 207, liquidated damages as provided by 29 U.S.C. § 216, pre-judgment interest on unpaid wages pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216, and court costs, expert witness fees, reasonable attorneys' fees as provided under FLSA § 16 and all other remedies allowed under the FLSA;
- (C) Grant declaratory judgment declaring that Plaintiff's rights and the rights of the FLSA Collective have been violated; and
- (D) Award Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective such further and additional relief as may be just and appropriate.

Respectfully submitted this 3rd day of January, 2017.

THE SHARMAN LAW FIRM LLC

/s/ Paul J. Sharman

PAUL J. SHARMAN

Georgia State Bar No. 227207

The Sharman Law Firm LLC

11175 Cicero Drive, Suite 100

Alpharetta, GA 30022

Phone: (678) 242-5297

Fax: (678) 802-2129

Email: paul@sharman-law.com

Counsel for Plaintiff